



# Florida Psychological Association

www.flapsych.com

## Continuing Education Program Evaluation Form

TITLE OF THE PROGRAM: Introductory Interdisciplinary Collaborative Training

CHAPTER/SPONSOR: Roadmaps for Resolution/FPA Co-Sponsor

PRESENTER(s): Multiple

DATE OF PRESENTATION: January 5, 6, 12, and 13, 2023

Approved for 11 hours of general continuing education credits, 2 hours of ethics and FL laws and 2 hour of domestic violence.

Instruction (Weekend 1)	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
1. The program objectives were met.					
Objective #1: Describe a conceptual understanding of the Collaborative process.	1	2	3	4	5
Objective #2: Differentiate the Collaborative Family Law team approach from other alternative dispute resolution methods including practicing "collaboratively."	1	2	3	4	5
Objective #3: Explain the specific progression of the case through the Collaborative Roadmap.	1	2	3	4	5
2. Instruction (Weekend 2)	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
The program objectives were met.					
Objective #1: Recognize key elements of Florida Collaborative Practice Act/Rules of Procedure/Professional Conduct.	1	2	3	4	5
Objective #2: Identify appropriate technology methods for the initial phase of the Collaborative process.	1	2	3	4	5
Objective #3: Describe the importance of anchoring the process with the Participation Agreement and associated documents.	1	2	3	4	5

3. The course material was appropriate to my education, experience and/or license level	1	2	3	4	5
4. The instructions for requesting accommodations for a disability were clear	1	2	3	4	5
5. Course registration was user friendly	1	2	3	4	5
Instructor 1 Name: Teresa F. Parnell	<b>Strongly Disagree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Neither Agree nor Disagree</b>	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Strongly Agree</b>
6. Knew the subject matter	1	2	3	4	5
7. Taught the subject competently	1	2	3	4	5
8. Elaborated upon the stated objectives	1	2	3	4	5
9. Presented content in an organized manner	1	2	3	4	5
10. Maintained my interest	1	2	3	4	5
11. Used technology effectively	1	2	3	4	5
12. Was responsive to participants	1	2	3	4	5
13. Addressed questions or concerns effectively and in a timely manner	1	2	3	4	5
Instructor 2 Name: Brenda London	<b>Strongly Disagree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Neither Agree nor Disagree</b>	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Strongly Agree</b>
14. Knew the subject matter	1	2	3	4	5
15. Taught the subject competently	1	2	3	4	5
16. Elaborated upon the stated objectives	1	2	3	4	5
17. Presented content in an organized manner	1	2	3	4	5
18. Maintained my interest	1	2	3	4	5
19. Used technology effectively	1	2	3	4	5
20. Was responsive to participants	1	2	3	4	5
21. Addressed questions or concerns effectively and in a timely manner	1	2	3	4	5
Instructor 3 Name: Lee Upton	<b>Strongly Disagree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Neither Agree nor Disagree</b>	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Strongly Agree</b>
22. Knew the subject matter	1	2	3	4	5

23. Taught the subject competently	1	2	3	4	5
24. Elaborated upon the stated objectives	1	2	3	4	5
25. Presented content in an organized manner	1	2	3	4	5
26. Maintained my interest	1	2	3	4	5
27. Used technology effectively	1	2	3	4	5
28. Was responsive to participants	1	2	3	4	5
29. Addressed questions or concerns effectively and in a timely manner	1	2	3	4	5

### Professional & Ethical Issues

30. Presenter (or program chair, etc.) made clearly evident, prior to registration, the following:		
a. Requirements for successful completion of activity	Yes	No
b. Commercial support for CE program,, sponsor, or instructor (or any other relationship that could be reasonably construed as a conflict of interest)	Yes	No
c. Commercial support for content of instruction (e.g., research grants funding research findings, etc.) that could be construed as a conflict of interest	Yes	No
d. Commercial support or benefit for endorsement of products (e.g., books, training, drugs, etc.)	Yes	No
e. Accuracy and utility of the materials presented, the basis of such statements, the limitations of the content being taught and the severe and most common risks	Yes	No

Learning	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
31. The material presented was current	1	2	3	4	5
32. Information could be applied to my practice (if applicable)	1	2	3	4	5
33. Information could contribute to achieving personal or professional goals	1	2	3	4	5
34. Cultural, racial, ethnic, socioeconomic, and gender differences were considered	1	2	3	4	5
35. The technology used was effective and easy to use	1	2	3	4	5
36. How much did you learn as a result of this CE program?	Very Little	Little	Some	A Good Bit	A Great Deal
37. How useful was the content of this CE program for your practice or other professional development?	Not Useful	Somewhat Useful	Useful	Very Useful	Extremely Useful

38. Did this program enhance your professional expertise?	Yes	No	
39. Would you recommend this program to others?	Yes	No	

Venue, Setting, Etc.	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
40. Facility was adequate for my needs	1	2	3	4	5
41. Special needs were met	1	2	3	4	5
42. Facility was comfortable and accessible	1	2	3	4	5
43. Food and beverage were adequate (if applicable)	1	2	3	4	5
44. Program brochure was informative and accurate					

Participant Information						
45. Please note your profession and status (Check all that apply)	<input type="checkbox"/> Psychologist	<input type="checkbox"/> Medical Professional	<input type="checkbox"/> Masters Level Licensed Therapist	<input type="checkbox"/> Social Worker	<input type="checkbox"/> Student	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Administrator	<input type="checkbox"/> University Faculty	<input type="checkbox"/> Other:	_____		
				list profession		
46. Please note your years in your profession	<input type="checkbox"/> Student	<input type="checkbox"/> 1-5	<input type="checkbox"/> 6-10	<input type="checkbox"/> 11-20	<input type="checkbox"/> 20+	

### Narrative

What was your overall impression of the activity? What went well? What could have been improved?
What did you learn that was new or different? How and/or will this information change how you practice?

What topics or presenters would you like to see at future CE presentations?

Other comments

The Board of Psychology will not revoke the continuing psychological education credit given to any psychologists for the completion of any continuing education program sponsored by a provider whose status is later revoked by the Board as a result of any complaint registered against the program by a psychologist.